


IUI 2012 Conference Survey

Q1 Including IUI 2012, I have attended IUI


Answered: 50 Skipped: 0



Answer Choices	Responses	
1 time	62.00%	31
2 times	14.00%	7
3 times	6.00%	3
4 times	8.00%	4
5 or more times	10.00%	5
Total		50

Q2 How likely are you to attend another IUI conference in the future?

Answered: 50 Skipped: 0




Answer Choices	Responses	Count
Very Unlikely	4.00%	2
Unlikely	4.00%	2
Not Sure	26.00%	13
Likely	40.00%	20
Very Likely	26.00%	13
Total		50

IUI 2012 Conference Survey

Q3 I saw the following publicity items (check all that apply):

Answered: 47 Skipped: 3




Answer Choices	Responses
Email	72.34% 34
Web site	82.98% 39
Facebook group	2.13% 1
Fliers distributed at affiliated conferences	8.51% 4
Communication of the ACM magazine advertisement	14.89% 7
Interactions magazine advertisement	4.26% 2
Crossroads magazine advertisement	0.00% 0
Total Respondents: 47	

IUI 2012 Conference Survey

Q4 I am a

Answered: 50 Skipped: 0




Answer Choices	Responses
Student	38.00% 19
Industry researcher	6.00% 3
Practitioner	0.00% 0
Academic researcher	56.00% 28
Total	50

IUI 2012 Conference Survey

Q5 I am a

Answered: 49 Skipped: 1




Answer Choices	Responses	
Female	22.45%	11
Male	77.55%	38
Total		49

IUI 2012 Conference Survey


Q6 Define your work location

Answered: 49 Skipped: 1



Country	Percent	Number
Austria	2,04%	1
Canada	4,08%	2
China	2,04%	1
France	4,08%	2
Germany	16,33%	8
Ireland	4,08%	2
Israel	2,04%	1
Italy	10,20%	5
Japan	2,04%	1
Korea, South	4,08%	2
Portugal	6,12%	3
Saudia Arabia	2,04%	1
Slovenia	2,04%	1
Spain	4,06%	2
United Kingdom	12,24%	6
United States of America	22,45%	11
TOTAL		49

State (for US only)




Country	Percent	Number
California	45,45%	5
Illinois	9,09%	1
Maryland	9,09%	1
Massachusetts	9,09%	1
North Carolina	9,09%	1
Oregon	9,09%	1
Texas	9,09%	1
TOTAL		11

IUI 2012 Conference Survey

Q7 What role did you play at the conference? (Please check all that apply.)

Answered: 50 Skipped: 0




Answer Choices	Responses
Attendee	52.00% 26
Presenter/Organizer	68.00% 34
Student Volunteer	6.00% 3
Workshop Participant	20.00% 10
Sponsor	0.00% 0
Committee Member - 2012	6.00% 3
Committee Member - 2013	0.00% 0
Other (please specify)	12.00% 6
Total Respondents: 50	

IUI 2012 Conference Survey

Q9 Paper and Notes Presentations:

Answered: 49 Skipped: 1




	100% - 81%	80% - 61%	60% - 41%	40% - 21%	20% - 0%	Total
Thinking of the Full Papers presentations that I attended, the percentage of them I found satisfying was:	8.16% 4	46.94% 23	36.73% 18	8.16% 4	0.00% 0	49

IUI 2012 Conference Survey

Q11 Conference Program: Please rate the following conference sessions according to how relevant and interesting they were to the IUI community:

Answered: 47 Skipped: 3




	Excellent	Good	Neutral	Fair	Poor	Didn't attend	Total	Weighted Average
Posters	27.66% 13	59.57% 28	10.64% 5	0.00% 0	0.00% 0	2.13% 1	47	1.83
Demos	34.04% 16	48.94% 23	10.64% 5	2.13% 1	0.00% 0	4.26% 2	47	1.80
Plenary by Alex Sandy	28.26% 13	30.43% 14	21.74% 10	2.17% 1	0.00% 0	17.39% 8	46	1.97
Plenary by Christopher Bishop	34.78% 16	23.91% 11	17.39% 8	0.00% 0	0.00% 0	23.91% 11	46	1.77
Plenary by Takeo Igarashi	46.67% 21	22.22% 10	4.44% 2	2.22% 1	0.00% 0	24.44% 11	45	1.50
Tiis Session	11.90% 5	30.95% 13	21.43% 9	4.76% 2	2.38% 1	28.57% 12	42	2.37

IUI 2012 Conference Survey

Q12 Conference Program:

Answered: 47 Skipped: 3




	Strongly Agree	Agree	Neutral	Disagree	Strongly Disagree	Didn't attend	Total
The Madness session was an effective way for me to learn about the content of the conference	29.79% 14	34.04% 16	12.77% 6	8.51% 4	2.13% 1	12.77% 6	47
The Town Hall Meeting was effective for eliciting community feedback.	13.04% 6	17.39% 8	17.39% 8	10.87% 5	4.35% 2	36.96% 17	46

IUI 2012 Conference Survey

Q13 Please rate the conference overall (please select one choice for each row):

Answered: 43 Skipped: 7




	(no label)	(no label)	(no label)	(no label)	Total	Weighted Average
Overall Rating	16.67% 7	78.57% 33	2.38% 1	2.38% 1	42	1.90
Kept up with the latest trends	16.28% 7	74.42% 32	6.98% 3	2.33% 1	43	1.95
Content was interesting	16.28% 7	65.12% 28	16.28% 7	2.33% 1	43	2.05
Content was personally valuable	13.95% 6	39.53% 17	44.19% 19	2.33% 1	43	2.35
Content was business valuable	10.00% 4	32.50% 13	45.00% 18	12.50% 5	40	2.60
Content met my overall needs	9.30% 4	46.51% 20	41.86% 18	2.33% 1	43	2.37

IUI 2012 Conference Survey

Q15 Preconference events:


Answered: 43 Skipped: 7




	Strongly Agree	Agree	Neutral	Disagree	Stongly Disagree	Do not know	Total	Weighted Average
Within the set of workshops there was at least one topic that interested me.	23.26% 10	11.63% 5	23.26% 10	2.33% 1	2.33% 1	37.21% 16	43	3.60

Q16 I participated in the following workshops:

Answered: 15 Skipped: 35



- W1: Developing Intelligent User Interfaces for e-Accessibility and e-Inclusion
- W2: Context-awareness in Retrieval and Recommendation (CaRR 2012)
- W3: 3rd Workshop on Semantic Models for Adaptive Interactive Systems (SEMAIS)
- W4: User Modeling from Social Media
- W5: 2nd Workshop on Location Awareness for Mixed and Dual Reality (LaMDa'12)




- Strongly Disagree
- Disagree
- Neutral
- Agree
- Strongly Agree

IUI 2012 Conference Survey

	W1: Developing Intelligent User Interfaces for e-Accessibility and e-Inclusion	W2: Context-awareness in Retrieval and Recommendation (CaRR 2012)	W3: 3rd Workshop on Semantic Models for Adaptive Interactive Systems (SEMAIS)	W4: User Modeling from Social Media	W5: 2nd Workshop on Location Awareness for Mixed and Dual Reality (LaMDa'12)	Total
workshop attended:	0.00% 0	33.33% 5	13.33% 2	26.67% 4	26.67% 4	15
I found the workshop a valuable experience that was worth my investment of time						
	Strongly Disagree	Disagree	Neutral	Agree	Strongly Agree	Total
workshop attended:	0.00% 0	6.67% 1	20.00% 3	40.00% 6	33.33% 5	15

Q17 The Doctoral Colloquium was a valuable experience that was worth my investment of time.


Answered: 45 Skipped: 5



Answer Choices	Responses
Strongly Agree	11.11% 5
Agree	13.33% 6
Neutral	4.44% 2
Disagree	0.00% 0
Strongly Disagree	0.00% 0
Not Applicable (did not participate)	71.11% 32
Total	45

Q19 Concerning the Conference Social Networking Functions:

Answered: 47 Skipped: 3




	Strongly Agree	Agree	Neutral	Disagree	Strongly Disagree	Total
There were enough opportunities to network and interact with my colleagues.	31.91% 15	51.06% 24	10.64% 5	6.38% 3	0.00% 0	47
Conference Breaks provided effective opportunities to meet and interact with colleagues.	44.68% 21	40.43% 19	6.38% 3	8.51% 4	0.00% 0	47
The Poster/Demos (Wednesday night) provided a good opportunity to interact with the presenters.	55.32% 26	38.30% 18	6.38% 3	0.00% 0	0.00% 0	47
The Conference Reception (Thursday night) provided a good opportunity to interact with colleagues.	21.74% 10	39.13% 18	26.09% 12	10.87% 5	2.17% 1	46

IUI 2012 Conference Survey

Q21 Rate the likelihood of planning to attend future conferences:


Answered: 46 Skipped: 4



	Very likely to attend	Somewhat likely to attend	Undecided	Somewhat unlikely to attend	Very unlikely to attend	Total
IUI 2013 in Phoenix, U.S.	10.87% 5	34.78% 16	34.78% 16	13.04% 6	6.52% 3	46
IUI 2014 location to be announced	18.18% 8	20.45% 9	52.27% 23	6.82% 3	2.27% 1	44

Q24 Other conferences I typically attend or submit to:

Answered: 34 Skipped: 16



Answer Choices	Responses	
CHI	94.12%	32
GROUP	0.00%	0
ECSCW	5.88%	2
UIST	44.12%	15
Ubicomp	20.59%	7
DIS	20.59%	7
Total Respondents: 34		