
## Q1 Including EICS 2015, I have attended EICS






Answer Choices	Respon	ses
1 time	55.32%	26
2 times	21.28%	10
3 times	6.38%	3
4 times	4.26%	2
5 or more times	12.77%	6
Total		47

# Q2 How likely are you to attend another EICS conference in the future.?






Answer Choices	Responses	
Very Unlikely	2.13%	1
Unlikely	0.00%	0
Not Sure	31.91%	15
Likely	34.04%	16
Very Likely	31.91%	15
Total		47

# Q3 I saw the following publicity items (check all that apply):


Answered: 43 Skipped: 5



Answer Choices	Responses	
Email	62.79%	27
Web site	93.02%	40
Fliers distributed at affiliated conferences	25.58%	11
Total Respondents: 43		

### Q4 I am a


Answered: 46 Skipped: 2



Answer Choices	Responses
Student	23.91%
Industry researcher	4.35%
Practicioner	2.17%
Academic researcher	<b>69.57%</b> 32
Total	46

### Q5 I am a


Answered: 47 Skipped: 1



Answer Choices	Responses	
Female	19.15%	9
Male	76.60%	36
Other	0.00%	0
Prefer not to say	4.26%	2
Total		47


#### Q6 Define your work location

Answered: 46 Skipped: 2



Country	Percent	Number
Belgium	10,87%	5
Canada	2,17%	1
France	17,39%	8
Germany	45,65%	21
Italy	4,35%	2
Luxembourg	2,17%	1
New Zealand	2,17%	1
Portugal	2,17%	1
Switzerland	2,17%	1
United Kingdom	4,35%	2
United States of America	6,52%	3
	TOTAL	46


# State (for US only)



Country	Percent	Number
California	50 %	1
Pennsylvania	50 %	1
	TOTAL	2

# Q7 What role did you play at the conference? (Please check all that apply.)


Answered: 47 Skipped: 1



Answer Choices	Responses	
Attendee	55.32%	26
Presenter/Organizer	70.21%	33
Student Volunteer	4.26%	2
Workshop Participant	46.81%	22
Sponsor	2.13%	1
Committee Member – 2015	12.77%	6
Other (please specify)	0.00%	0
otal Respondents: 47		

### **Q9 Paper and Short Paper Presentations:**






	100% - 81%	80 % - 61%	60 % - 41%	40 % - 21%	20 % - 0%	Total
Thinking of the Papers presentations (30-minute slots) that I attended, the percentage of them I found satisfying was:	<b>14.63%</b> 6	<b>41.46%</b> 17	<b>36.59%</b> 15	<b>2.44%</b> 1	<b>4.88%</b> 2	41
Thinking of the short paper presentations (15-minute slots) that I attended, the percentage of them I found satisfying was:	<b>11.63%</b> 5	<b>51.16%</b> 22	<b>27.91%</b> 12	<b>6.98%</b> 3	<b>2.33%</b>	43

# Q11 Conference Program:Please rate the following conference sessions according to how relevant and interesting they were to the EICS community:


Answered: 40 Skipped: 8



	Excellent	Good	Neutral	Fair	Poor	Didn't attend	Total	Weighted Average
Opening plenary by Daniel Wigdor	42.50%	32.50%	0.00%	2.50%	0.00%	22.50%		
	17	13	0	1	0	9	40	1.52
Plenary by Steffen Staab	2.50%	27.50%	27.50%	12.50%	5.00%	25.00%		
	1	11	11	5	2	10	40	2.87
Demos	10.26%	38.46%	12.82%	7.69%	2.56%	28.21%		
	4	15	5	3	1	11	39	2.36

## Q12 Please rate the conference overall (please select one choice for each row):


Answered: 39 Skipped: 9



	Excellent	Good	Fair	Poor	Total	Weighted Average
Overall Rating	30.77%	58.97%	10.26%	0.00%		
	12	23	4	0	39	1.7
Kept up with the latest trends	25.64%	53.85%	20.51%	0.00%		
	10	21	8	0	39	1.9
Content was interesting	28.21%	58.97%	12.82%	0.00%		
	11	23	5	0	39	1.8
Content was personally valuable	30.77%	53.85%	12.82%	2.56%		
	12	21	5	1	39	1.8
Content was business valuable	16.67%	33.33%	44.44%	5.56%		
	6	12	16	2	36	2.3
Content met my overall needs	23.08%	46.15%	28.21%	2.56%		
	9	18	11	1	39	2.

### Q14 Preconference events:


Answered: 42 Skipped: 6




	Strongly Agree	Agree	Neutral	Disagree	Stongly Disagree	Do not know	Total	Weighted Average
Within the set of workshops there was at least one topic	42.86%	30.95%	4.76%	0.00%	0.00%	21.43%		
that interested me.	18	13	2	0	0	9	42	2.48

## Q15 I participated in the following workshops:

Answered: 23 Skipped: 25



- W1: Formal Methods in Human-Computer Interaction
- W2: Large-scale and model-based Interactive Systems: Approaches and Challenges
- W3: Engineering Interactive Systems with SCXML
- W4: Systems and Tools for Cross-Device Interfaces




W1: Formal Methods in Human-Computer Interaction								Total
		13.	<b>04%</b> 3		<b>21.74%</b> 5		<b>26.09%</b>	23
found the workshop a valuable experience that was worth my investment of time  Strongly Disagree Disagree Neutral Agree Strongly Agree Total								
	Human-Computer Interaction 39.13% 9	Human-Computer Interactive Systems Challenges  39.13% 9 shop a valuable experience that was worth my investigations.	Human-Computer Interactive Systems: Approaches and Challenges  39.13% 9  13. shop a valuable experience that was worth my investment of time	Human-Computer Interactive Systems: Approaches and Challenges  39.13% 9 13.04% 9 3  shop a valuable experience that was worth my investment of time	Human-Computer Interactive Systems: Approaches and Challenges Interaction SCXML  39.13% 13.04% 3	Human-Computer Interactive Systems: Approaches and Challenges Interactive Systems with SCXML  39.13% 9 13.04% 3 21.74% 3 5  shop a valuable experience that was worth my investment of time	Human-Computer Interactive Systems: Approaches and Challenges Interactive Systems with Challenges Interactive Systems with SCXML Interactive Systems with SCXML for Cross-Device Interaction Interactive Systems with SCXML for Cross-Device Interactive Systems with ScXML fo	Human-Computer Interactive Systems: Approaches and Challenges Interactive Systems with SCXML Interactive Systems with SCXML 21.74% 26.09% 3 5 6  shop a valuable experience that was worth my investment of time

workshop attended:	0.00%	0.00%	5.00%	45.00%	50.00%	
	0	0	1	9	10	20

# Q17 Concerning the Conference Social Networking Functions:


Answered: 43 Skipped: 5



	Strongly Agree	Agree	Neutral	Disagree	Strongly Disagree	Total
There were enough opportunities to network and interact with my colleagues.	<b>67.44%</b> 29	<b>27.91%</b> 12	<b>4.65%</b> 2	<b>0.00%</b> O	<b>0.00%</b> 0	43
Conference Breaks provided effective opportunities to meet and interact with colleagues.	<b>65.12%</b> 28	<b>32.56%</b> 14	<b>2.33%</b>	<b>0.00%</b> 0	<b>0.00%</b> 0	4;
The Demos session provided a good opportunity to interact with the presenters.	<b>39.47%</b> 15	<b>39.47%</b> 15	<b>18.42%</b> 7	<b>2.63%</b>	<b>0.00%</b> 0	3
The Conference Social Event (Thursday night) provided a good opportunity to interact with colleagues.	<b>50.00%</b> 19	<b>31.58%</b> 12	<b>15.79%</b>	<b>2.63%</b>	<b>0.00%</b> 0	3

# Q19 Rate the likelihood of planning to attend future conferences:






	Very likely to attend	Somewhat likely to attend	Undecided	Somewhat unlikely to attend	Very unlikely to attend	Total
EICS 2016	24.39%	39.02%	31.71%	0.00%	4.88%	
	10	16	13	0	2	41
EICS 2017	15.63%	12.50%	68.75%	0.00%	3.13%	
	5	4	22	0	1	32

## Q22 Other conferences I typically attend or submit to:





Answer Choices	Responses	
СНІ	95.83%	23
GROUP	0.00%	0
ECSCW	4.17%	1
UIST	54.17%	13
Ubicomp	29.17%	7
DIS	20.83%	5
Total Respondents: 24		