
Q1 I am a






Answer Choices	Responses	
Female	48.00%	96
Male	52.00%	104
Prefer not to tell	0.00%	0
Total		200

Q2 I am a:






Answer Choices	Responses
Student	46.97% 93
Practicioner	1.52%
Industry researcher	12.63%
Academic researcher	38.89%
Total	198


Q3 My work location is (for USA please also give state):

Answered: 196 Skipped: 5



Country	Percent	Number
Australia	1,08%	2
Austria	1,08%	2
Brazil	2,15%	4
British Virgin Islands	0,54%	1
Canada	3,76%	7
China	2,15%	4
Denmark	0,54%	1
Finland	1,08%	2
France	1,61%	3
Germany	3,23%	6
India	0,54%	1
Ireland	1,08%	2
Italy	0,54%	1
Japan	3,23%	6
Korea, South	4,84%	9
Netherlands	0,57%	1
Mexico	0,54%	1
New Zealand	0,54%	1
Norway	1,61%	3
Singapore	1,61%	3
Switzerland	1,08%	2
Taiwan	0,57%	1
United Kingdom	3,76%	7
United States of America	62,90%	117
	TOTAL	186


State (for US only)



Country	Percent	Number
California	15,32%	19
Colorado	0,81%	1
Georgia	5,65%	7
Hawaii	0,81%	1
Illinois	10,48%	13
Indiana	2,42%	3
Maryland	4,03%	5
Massachusetts	4,03%	5
Michigan	4,84%	6
Minnesota	1,61%	2
New Jersey	1,61%	2
New Mexico	0,81%	1
New York	11,29%	14
Pennsylvania	18,55%	23
Texas	3,23%	4
Utah	0,81%	1
Virginia	2,42%	3
Washington	11,29%	14
	TOTAL	124

Q4 I consider CSCW to be my primary conference home:






Answer Choices	Responses	
Yes	56.57%	112
No	43.43%	86
Total		198


Q5 In addition to CSCW'13, I have attended (check all that apply):

Answered: 97 Skipped: 104



Answer Choices	Responses	
CSCW 2012 (Bellevue, WA)	74.23%	72
CSCW 2011 (Hangzhou, China)	31.96%	31
CSCW 2010 (Savannah, GA)	41.24%	40
CSCW 2008 (San Diego, CA)	42.27%	41
CSCW 2006 (Banff, Canada)	26.80%	26
CSCW 2004 (Chicago, IL)	22.68%	22
CSCW 2002 (New Orleans, LA)	18.56%	18
CSCW 2000 (Philadelphia, PA)	16.49%	16
Total Respondents: 97		


Q6 Other conferences I typically attend or submit to (check all that apply):



Answer Choices	Responses	
CHI	87.65%	142
GROUP	22.84%	37
ECSCW	14.81%	24
стѕ	3.09%	5
UIST	15.43%	25
Ubicomp	17.90%	29
DIS	8.02%	13
Total Respondents: 162		

Q7 I saw the following CSCW'13 publicity items (check all that apply):






Answer Choices	Responses	
Email	70.97%	132
Web site	90.86%	169
Facebook group	25.81%	48
Twitter stream	49.46%	92
Fliers distributed at affiliated conferences	9.14%	17
Interactions magazine advertisement	5.38%	10
Total Respondents: 186		

Q8 The following role(s) best describe my participation at CSCW'13 (check all that apply):


Answered: 191 Skipped: 10



nswer Choices	Responses	
Attendee	70.16%	134
Presenter: Paper	42.93%	82
Presenter: Poster / Demo / Video	9.95%	1
Non-presenting co-author: Paper / Poster / Demo / Video	13.09%	2
Panelist	3.66%	
i aronot		
Session Chair	10.99%	2
Student Volunteer	12.57%	2
Doctoral Colloquium Participant / Mentor	3.66%	
Workshop Participant / Organizer	38.74%	7
Sponsor	1.05%	
Committee Member – CSCW 2013	10.99%	2
Committee Member – CSCW 2014	8.38%	1
otal Respondents: 191		

Q9 The majority of my CSCW'13 costs were paid by:






Answer Choices	Responses	
A grant / fellowship / scholarship	29.84%	57
My company / university	56.02%	107
Myself	14.14%	27
Total		191

Q10 For me to receive funding / support to attend CSCW conferences:






answer Choices	Response	es
I must have a contribution that is published in the ACM Digital Library	30.48%	57
I must participate in a way that is listed in the proceedings, but need not be published in the ACM Digital Library	15.51%	29
I must be involved in some organizational role	2.14%	4
I must receive approval of my management / professor / organization	35.83%	6
I manage funding decisions on my own	16.04%	30
otal		18

Q11 I stayed at the Hyatt Regency Riverwalk conference hotel because (check all that apply):






Answer Choices	Responses	
It was conveniently located	95.33%	102
It helped support the conference	29.91%	32
It offered attractive perks (e.g., free wifi, dining discounts)	12.15%	13
It was cost effective compared with other lodging options	16.82%	18
Total Respondents: 107		

Q13 Please rate the following statements along the following scale:


Answered: 189 Skipped: 12



	Strongly Agree	Agree	Neutral	Disagree	Strongly Disagree	Total	Weighted Average
The level of detail in presentations at the conference was appropriate.	31.75% 60	57.14% 108	8.99% 17	1.59%	0.53%	189	4.1
The 22 minute presentation slot (17 min talk & 5 min Q&A) vorked well.	36.70% 69	52.66% 99	6.38%	3.72% 7	0.53%	188	4.3
Six parallel sessions was the right size for this event.	10.75% 20	34.41% 64	31.72% 59	20.97% 39	2.15%	186	3.
The 9:00 daily start time was good.	35.29% 66	52.94% 99	8.02% 15	3.74% 7	0.00% 0	187	4.
The two-hour lunch break was useful.	42.47% 79	41.94% 78	11.29% 21	4.30% 8	0.00% 0	186	4.
Ending early at 14:00 on the last day eased my travel.	30.48% 57	35.29% 66	26.74% 50	5.88%	1.60%	187	3.
The annual timing of the conference (mid-February) works well for me.	17.74%	47.31% 88	29.57% 55	4.84% 9	0.54%	186	3.

Q15 Please rate the following conference sessions along the following scale:

Answered: 187 Skipped: 14




	Excellent	Good	Neutral	Fair	Poor	Didn't attend	Total	Weighted Average
Opening Plenary (Ron Burt)	48.35% 88	28.02% 51	3.85% 7	1.65%	1.65%	16.48% 30	182	3.70
Closing Plenary (Jascha Franklin-Hodge)	24.58% 44	21.23% 38	5.03% 9	2.23% 4	1.12% 2	45.81% 82	179	2.28
"Most Cited CSCW Paper"	36.46% 66	13.26% 24	4.97% 9	0.00% 0	0.00% 0	45.30% 82	181	2.50
Interactive Posters	8.67% 15	46.82% 81	20.23% 35	6.94% 12	1.73%	15.61% 27	173	3.07
Demos	5.17% 9	30.46% 53	21.84% 38	6.32%	0.57%	35.63% 62	174	2.26

Video Program	2.31%	10.40% 18	10.98% 19	3.47%	0.58%	72.25% 125	173	0.9
Mid-day breaks	16.85%	61.80%	14.61%	1.69%	0.00%	5.06%	178	3.7
Interactivity Reception (Monday)	18.08%	49.15%	9.60%	2.82%	0.00%	20.34%		
	32	87	17	5	0	36	177	3.
Conference Reception (Tuesday)	21.35% 38	50.56% 90	14.04% 25	6.18% 11	1.12% 2	6.74% 12	178	3.
CSCW Town Hall	20.00% 36	37.22% 67	7.22% 13	5.00% 9	0.00% 0	30.56% 55	180	2.
CSCW Fitness	4.57%	8.57%	4.57%	0.00%	0.00%	82.29%	175	0.
CSCW Open Space	3.45%	9.77%	5.17%	1.15%	1.15%	79.31%		
озом орен зрасе	6	17	9	2	2	138	174	0
Doctoral Colloquium	4.62% 8	4.05% 7	2.31% 4	0.00% 0	0.00%	89.02% 154	173	0
Workshop 1: Collaborative Editing Systems	1.83%	2.44%	3.05% 5	0.00%	0.00%	92.68% 152	164	0
Workshop 2: Trust in Virtual Teams	3.57%	3.57%	3.57%	0.00%	0.00%	89.29%	168	0
Workshop 3: Informal Communication in Health Practices	4.12%	5.29% 9	3.53%	0.00%	0.00%	87.06% 148	170	0
Workshop 4: CrowdCamp	5.33% 9	4.14% 7	3.55% 6	0.00%	0.00%	86.98% 147	169	0
Workshop 5: Collaboration in Safety-Critical Environments	3.01% 5	3.01% 5	3.61% 6	0.00% 0	0.00% 0	90.36% 150	166	0
Workshop 7: Social Media Question Asking	8.33%	4.76% 8	2.38% 4	0.60%	0.60%	83.33% 140	168	0
Workshop 8: Collaborative Information Seeking	3.59% 6	4.79% 8	3.59%	0.00% 0	0.00% 0	88.02% 147	167	0
Workshop 9: Measuring Networked Social Privacy	4.19%	4.79% 8	2.99% 5	0.00%	0.00%	88.02% 147	167	0
				_				

Q17 How likely am I to attend CSCW'14 in Baltimore, MD, USA on a scale of 1 (not attend) to 10 (definitely attend)?


Answered: 166 Skipped: 35



	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	Total	Weighted Average
(no label)	1.20%	4.22%	3.61%	3.61%	7.23%	6.63%	16.27%	26.51%	19.88%	10.84%		
	2	7	6	6	12	11	27	44	33	18	166	7.24

Q18 Consider the following potential innovations for CSCW'14. Rate them along the following five-point scale:





	Strongly Agree	Agree	Neutral	Disagree	Strongly Disagree	Total	Weighted Average
Provide presentation time for posters and demos	9.68%	25.81%	31.72%	27.42%	5.38%		
	18	48	59	51	10	186	3.0
Require 30-second video abstracts for all papers (e.g.,	3.74%	12.30%	22.46%	29.95%	31.55%		
CHI'13)	7	23	42	56	59	187	2.
Stop publishing proceedings on USB memory sticks	8.60%	12.37%	28.49%	26.88%	23.66%		
	16	23	53	50	44	186	2
Thematic dinner groups for newcomers	20.00%	52.43%	24.32%	3.24%	0.00%		
	37	97	45	6	0	185	3.
Continue the Open Space unconference track	4.42%	20.99%	65.75%	6.63%	2.21%		
	8	38	119	12	4	181	3.
Continue Town Hall meeting	34.59%	38.38%	26.49%	0.54%	0.00%		
	64	71	49	1	0	185	4
Continue morning fitness activities	8.70%	35.87%	54.35%	0.00%	1.09%		
	16	66	100	0	2	184	3