
### Q1 Was CHI 2014 worth your time and money?






Answer Choices	Responses	
Yes	91.73%	521
No	8.27%	47
Total	5	568

#### Q2 Please rate the conference sessions/activities at CHI.Select one choice for each row; if you did not attend, mark "didn't attend":

Answered: 678 Skipped: 7




	Poor	Fair	Neutral	Good	Excellent	Didn't attend	Total	Weighted Average
Opening Plenary	3.11%	8.74%	11.26%	32.00%	26.07%	18.81%		
	21	59	76	216	176	127	675	3.8
alt.chi	0.45%	1.79%	5.97%	14.33%	11.19%	66.27%		
	3	12	40	96	75	444	670	4.0
Courses	1.96%	2.27%	4.53%	13.14%	8.16%	69.94%		
	13	15	30	87	54	463	662	3.
One of a CHInd Courses	0.60%	0.60%	1.65%	5.54%	8.98%	82.63%		
	4	4	11	37	60	552	668	4.
Case Studies	0.60%	0.75%	3.59%	9.28%	2.54%	83.23%		
	4	5	24	62	17	556	668	3.
Doctoral Consortium	0.15%	0.00%	1.66%	1.06%	1.36%	95.78%		
	1	0	11	7	9	635	663	3.
Interactivity (Demos)	0.60%	2.55%	9.91%	47.30%	26.13%	13.51%		
	4	17	66	315	174	90	666	4.
Morning Video Preview Sessions	1.05%	2.10%	2.55%	7.95%	1.95%	84.41%		
	7	14	17	53	13	563	667	3.

Panels	0.61% 4	<b>1.22%</b> 8	<b>7.01%</b> 46	<b>22.56%</b> 148	<b>13.26%</b> 87	<b>55.34%</b> 363	656	4.0
Papers/Notes	<b>0.30%</b> 2	<b>3.14%</b> 21	<b>8.08%</b> 54	<b>52.25%</b> 349	<b>32.19%</b> 215	<b>4.04%</b> 27	668	4.
Provoke! Wisdom! Impact! Morning Plenaries	<b>0.45%</b>	<b>2.55%</b> 17	<b>6.91%</b> 46	<b>15.92%</b> 106	<b>12.61%</b> 84	<b>61.56%</b> 410	666	3.9
SIGs	<b>0.15%</b>	<b>0.75%</b> 5	<b>2.71%</b> 18	<b>14.89%</b> 99	<b>5.86%</b> 39	<b>75.64%</b> 503	665	4.
Student Design Competition	0.00%	<b>0.75%</b> 5	<b>2.84%</b> 19	<b>7.04%</b> 47	<b>3.44%</b> 23	<b>85.93%</b> 574	668	3.
Student Research Competition	<b>0.15%</b>	<b>0.45%</b>	<b>1.95%</b> 13	<b>5.86%</b> 39	<b>3.76%</b> 25	<b>87.82%</b> 584	665	4.
Student Games Competition	<b>0.15%</b>	<b>0.45%</b>	<b>2.12%</b> 14	<b>7.26%</b> 48	<b>3.18%</b> 21	<b>86.84%</b> 574	661	3
ToCHI papers in paper sessions	<b>0.30%</b> 2	<b>0.90%</b> 6	<b>5.26%</b> 35	<b>24.47%</b> 163	<b>14.41%</b> 96	<b>54.65%</b> 364	666	4.
Works-in-progress (Posters)	<b>0.45%</b>	<b>3.14%</b> 21	<b>13.17%</b> 88	<b>42.51%</b> 284	<b>13.77%</b> 92	<b>26.95%</b> 180	668	3.
Workshops	<b>0.60%</b> 4	<b>0.89%</b> 6	<b>2.68%</b> 18	<b>11.18%</b> 75	<b>14.31%</b> 96	<b>70.34%</b> 472	671	4.
Closing Plenary	0.30%	<b>0.90%</b>	<b>3.29%</b>	<b>11.36%</b>	<b>48.43%</b> 324	<b>35.72%</b>	669	4

## Q3 Please rate the conference events. Select one choice for each row; if you did not attend, mark "didn't attend":






	Poor	Fair	Neutral	Good	Excellent	Didn't attend	Total	Weighted Average
Conference Reception & Exhibits Grand Opening	1.64%	4.04%	10.61%	46.49%	24.96%	12.26%		
	11	27	71	311	167	82	669	4.02
Exhibits	0.60%	4.65%	12.76%	49.40%	20.87%	11.71%		
	4	31	85	329	139	78	666	3.97
Job Fair	3.16%	6.02%	10.39%	15.06%	5.12%	60.24%		
	21	40	69	100	34	400	664	3.33
Joint Hospitality Reception (Mattamy Athletic Centre)	3.58%	5.96%	9.69%	19.52%	15.80%	45.45%		
	24	40	65	131	106	305	671	3.70
Town Hall Meeting	1.35%	1.95%	5.26%	7.51%	2.10%	81.83%		
	9	13	35	50	14	545	666	3.39
Video Showcase	0.90%	1.05%	3.46%	9.47%	5.86%	79.25%		
	6	7	23	63	39	527	665	3.88

### Q4 Please rate the conference overall (please select one choice for each row):






	Poor	Fair	Neutral	Good	Excellent	Don't care	Total	Weighted Average
Overall Rating	1.04%	4.45%	7.27%	53.71%	33.53%	0.00%		
	7	30	49	362	226	0	674	4.1
Carried out the conference theme "One of a CHInd"	4.15%	7.56%	22.22%	21.04%	12.59%	32.44%		
	28	51	150	142	85	219	675	3.4
Kept up with the latest trends	1.49%	4.32%	11.46%	48.66%	30.06%	4.02%		
	10	29	77	327	202	27	672	4.
Content was interesting	0.74%	3.40%	8.71%	51.40%	35.30%	0.44%		
	5	23	59	348	239	3	677	4.
Content was personally valuable	1.93%	4.60%	11.13%	47.77%	34.27%	0.30%		
	13	31	75	322	231	2	674	4.
Content was business valuable	3.56%	5.33%	16.15%	34.96%	17.93%	22.07%		
	24	36	109	236	121	149	675	3.
Content met my overall needs	1.63%	5.48%	12.44%	50.81%	29.04%	0.59%		
	11	37	84	343	196	4	675	4

# Q5 What is the value you received from attending CHI 2014? (please check all that apply)






nswer Choices	Responses	
Present my work	54.56%	371
Get feedback on my work	50.00%	340
Learn new material	72.50%	493
Seek job opportunities	14.26%	97
Meet new friends and colleagues	78.38%	533
Renew relationships with others	67.65%	460
Expand my business opportunities	13.97%	95
Visit an interesting location	40.15%	273
Get an overview of the field	52.21%	355
Get new ideas and inspiration	82.65%	562
Other (please specify)	3.82%	26
otal Respondents: 680		


## Q6 Before the conference: how did the following meet your needs? Please make one selection for each row.





	Poor	Fair	Neutral	Good	Excellent	N/A	Total	Weighted Average
Conference web site	2.36%	9.75%	16.69%	49.48%	21.12%	0.59%		
	16	66	113	335	143	4	677	3.78
Submission process	1.47%	3.53%	9.72%	39.18%	17.97%	28.13%		
	10	24	66	266	122	191	679	3.95
Registration process	0.89%	4.90%	12.18%	50.97%	28.38%	2.67%		
	6	33	82	343	191	18	673	4.04


# Q7 This year, the technical program schedule had 20 min presentations for full papers and 10 min presentations for short papers. For me these were:



Answer Choices	Responses	
too short	5.78%	39
too long	4.59%	31
about right	89.63%	605
Total		675


### Q8 This year the CHI programme run over 4 days, for me this is


Answered: 679 Skipped: 6



Answer Choices	Responses	
too long, I prefer 3 days with less free time	15.61%	106
about right	73.49%	499
too short, I prefer 5 days with more free time	10.90%	74
Total		679

### Q10 How important are courses to your choice to attend CHI?






Answer Choices	Responses	
I attend primarly for the courses	4.70%	31
Courses somewhat influence my decision	24.85%	164
Courses make no difference to me	70.45%	465
Total		660

# Q11 This year the technical program included several courses on a wide range of topics. For me,(Please check all that apply)






Answer Choices	Responses	
There were no courses that were of interest to me	21.49%	124
There were multiple courses that were of interest to me	52.69%	304
I wasn't aware that I could sign up for courses.	12.65%	73
The courses I took added significant value to my CHI experience	15.77%	91
I was not able to register for courses that were of interest to me	16.46%	95
otal Respondents: 577		

### Q13 How important are workshops to your choice to attend CHI?






Answer Choices	Responses	
I attend primarly for the workshops	5.53%	36
Workshps somewhat influence my decision	41.78%	272
Workshops make no difference to me	52.69%	343
Total		651

#### Q14 Which types of sessions do you believe could be omitted? (check all that apply)


Answered: 385 Skipped: 300



swer Choices	Responses	
Alt.CHI	18.44%	7
Case Studies	16.36%	6
Conference Reception & Exhibits Grand Opening	4.68%	1
Courses	9.09%	3
Doctoral Consortium	5.71%	:
Exhibits	5.71%	:
Interactivity	3.38%	
Joint Hospitality Reception (Mattamy Athletic Centre)	18.70%	
Keynotes	3.64%	
Lab tours	21.30%	
Morning Video Preview Sessions	65.71%	2
Panels	8.05%	
Papers and notes presentations	2.86%	
Posters/Work-in-Progress	5.97%	
Provoke! Wisdom! Impact Morning Plenaries	32.47%	1


### Q15 What media did you use to plan for and track CHI 2014 while it was in progress?






Responses	
72.08%	47
14.42%	9
14.72%	9
18.51%	12
34.45%	22
33.69%	22
0.46%	
8.19%	
1.06%	
0.30%	
18.97%	12
0.00%	
	14.42%  14.72%  18.51%  34.45%  33.69%  0.46%  8.19%  1.06%  0.30%  18.97%

# Q16 If you used Video Previews, how valuable was it as part of your conference experience?






nswer Choices	Responses	
Not valuable, mostly used as a novelty	36.56%	121
A little valuable, but mostly depended on paper or web program	40.18%	133
Quite valuable, I consulted it regularly	15.11%	50
Essential, I used it for planning or guidance nearly every session	8.16%	27
otal		331

# Q17 If you used Mobile Program App, how valuable was it as part of your conference experience?






Answer Choices	Responses	
Not valuable, mostly used as a novelty	6.86%	35
A little valuable, but mostly depended on paper or web program	19.61%	100
Quite valuable, I consulted it regularly	32.35%	165
Essential, I used it for planning or guidance nearly every session	41.18%	210
Total		510

# Q18 We are considering not producing a printed paper program at future CHI conferences to reduce overhead costs of production and paper consumption. What is your vote?






answer Choices	Responses
	31.20%
No, please continue to produce the printed paper program. I rely on it.	205
No, please continue to produce the printed paper program. Although I believe I could live without it, I also believe that others rely on it heavily and that it is a necessary element of the conference.	<b>20.55%</b> 135
Yes, stop printing the paper program. Although it will cause some difficulty for me, i prefer the conference not expend those resources.	<b>23.44%</b> 154
Yes, stop printing the paper program. I already use other information sources.	<b>24.81%</b> 163
otal	657

## Q19 CHI 2014 had some changes. How useful did you find these changes?






	I didn't know	not very useful	neutral	very useful	Total
Thursday was designated our Industry Focused Day, with a number of industry related sessions.	<b>61.66%</b> 402	<b>7.21%</b> 47	<b>20.71%</b> 135	<b>10.43%</b> 68	652
The People's Choice Award allowed attendees to vote for their favorite talks.	<b>7.02%</b> 46	<b>24.58%</b> 161	<b>39.85%</b> 261	<b>28.55%</b> 187	655
At interactivity this year, there were 40 minute research demos, in addition to full interactivity exhibits.	<b>53.78%</b> 349	<b>3.70%</b> 24	<b>23.57%</b> 153	<b>18.95%</b> 123	649
This year we have five research areas selected to appear as Spotlights at CHI 2014.	<b>44.48%</b> 290	<b>9.82%</b> 64	<b>29.29%</b> 191	<b>16.41%</b> 107	652

Q20 Over the years CHI has offered a variety of logo merchandise. Which of these, if any, would you purchase if offered at the next CHI conference you plan to attend? (check all that apply)






Answer Choices	Responses	
T-shirt	51.72%	120
Mug	41.38%	96
Polo shirts	22.84%	53
Hats (e.g. baseball-style caps)	9.91%	23
Water bottles	18.53%	43
Pens or Pen and Pencil sets	24.14%	56
iPad or iPhone covers	15.09%	35
otal Respondents: 232		


#### Q21 Did you request any accessibilityrelated accommodations for the conference? If yes, were your requests met in a timely manner?






Answer Choices	Responses	
no - no accessibility-related special needs required	96.79%	603
yes - I required accessibility-related special needs, which were met in a timely manner	1.28%	8
yes - I required accessibility-related special needs, but they were not met	1.93%	12
Total		623

Q22 Do you know of any researchers, practitioners, educators or students with disabilities who wanted to attend the CHI conference, but could not due to accessibility-related concerns?



Answer Choices	Responses	
yes	2.69%	17
not sure	9.67%	61
no	87.64%	553
Total		631


# Q24 Based on your experiences at CHI 2014 would you recommend the conference to others?



Answer Choices	Responses	
Yes	92.09%	605
No	7.91%	52
Total		657

# Q25 From your viewpoint which of the following is the most unique and important element of the CHI conference (choose only one)?






Answer Choices	Responses	
The quality of the conference program	37.50%	243
The diversity of the conference program	34.26%	222
Opportunity to see old friends and make new acquaintances	28.24%	183
Total		648

#### Q27 I am a


Answered: 660 Skipped: 25



Answer Choices	Responses
Female	<b>38.48</b> % 254
Male	<b>56.06%</b> 370
Prefer not to say	5.45% 36
Total	660

# Q28 Have you volunteered for, written or organized something at CHI 2014? (Please check all that apply.)


Answered: 609 Skipped: 76



wer Choices	Responses	
Attendee	67.16%	40
Presenter/Author of a research paper	40.56%	24
Presenter/Author of a poster, interactivity, student competition,	23.15%	14
Workshop, Panel or SIG Organizer	8.37%	
Workshop, Panel or SIG Participant	21.35%	1
Course Instructor	2.79%	
Student Volunteer	9.85%	
Exhibitor/Vendor	2.79%	
Sponsor	2.63%	
Committee Member – CHI 2014	7.39%	
Committee Member – CHI 2015	5.09%	
Reviewer	38.75%	2
Staff	0.00%	
Other (please specify)	1.64%	

Q29 Which of the following best describes your profession? (If you are a student enter the professional discipline you are studying.)

Answered: 625 Skipped: 60




Answer Choices	Responses	
Architect	0.00%	0
Anthropologist	0.16%	1
Designer Graphics/Illustration	0.16%	1

Designer Industrial/Product	0.48%	3
Designer Interaction	7.04%	44
Designer User Experience	7.84%	49
Engineer Design	1.28%	8
Engineer Software	2.88%	18
Ethnographer	0.48%	3
Game Design/Development	0.80%	5
General Management	0.48%	3
Human Factors/Ergonomics	2.72%	17
Information Architect	0.48%	3
Information Systems Specialist	1.12%	7
Marketing	0.16%	1
Product Management	0.48%	3
Psychologist Cognitive	1.92%	12
Psychologist Perceptual	0.16%	1
Psychologist Social	1.44%	9
Researcher Academia	47.20%	295
Researcher Government	0.64%	4
Researcher Industry	9.60%	60
Sociologist	0.48%	3
Software Developer	3.52%	22
Teaching Academic	4.64%	29
Training Corporate	0.00%	0
Usability Engineering	3.84%	24
al		625


#### Q30 What is your position?

Answered: 647 Skipped: 38



Answer Choices	Responses	
C-level (CEO/CIO/CFO)	1.24%	8
Executive Management	0.77%	5
Partner	0.31%	2
Professor	21.17%	137
Consultant	3.25%	21
Other Management	2.16%	14
Expert, researcher	26.89%	174
Student	38.79%	251
Other (please specify)	5.41%	35
otal		647


#### Q31 Short version of your work setting:



nswer Choices	Responses	
University	72.26%	474
Industry Research	14.18%	9
Practitioner	8.99%	5
Government-Funded Institution	3.35%	2
Independent/Consultant	1.22%	
tal		65

#### Q32 Define your work location


Answered: 624 Skipped: 61



Country	Percent	Number
Australia	2,12%	13
Austria	2,12%	13
Belgium	1,31%	8
Belize	0,16%	1
Brazil	1,14%	7
Canada	15,50%	95
China	0,82%	5
Denmark	1,47%	9
Finland	0,98%	6
France	3,75%	23
Germany	6,04%	37
Hong Kong	0,16%	1
India	0,82%	5
Israel	0,33%	2
Italy	0,49%	3
Japan	1,63%	10
Korea, South	1,92%	12
Luxembourg	0,16%	1
Netherlands	0,98%	6
New Zealand	0,16%	1
Peru	0,16%	1
Portugal	0,65%	4
Singapore	1,31%	8
South Africa	0,16%	1
Spain	1,47%	9
Sweden	1,63%	10

Switzerland	1,63%	10
Taiwan	0,64%	4
Turkey	0,16%	1
United Kingdom	10,28%	63
United States of America	39,80%	244
	TOTAL	613


### State (for US only)



Country	Percent	Number
Arizona	0,41%	1
California	23,97%	58
Connecticut	0,41%	1
District of Columbia	0,41%	1
Florida	2,48%	2
Georgia	4,13%	10
Guam	0,41%	1
Illinois	4,13%	10
Indiana	2,48%	6
Iowa	0,83%	2
Maryland	5,37%	13
Massachusetts	6,61%	16
Michigan	2,89%	7
Minnesota	2,07%	5
Montana	0,41%	1
New Hampshire	0,41%	1
New Jersey	1,65%	4
New Mexico	0,41%	1
New York	7,44%	18
North Carolina	0,83%	2
Ohio	0,41%	1
Oregon	0,83%	2
Pennsylvania	12,81%	31
Rhode Island	0,83%	2
South Carolina	0,83%	2
Tennessee	0,83%	2
Texas	3,31%	8
Utah	1,24%	3

Virginia	2,48%	6
Washington	7,02%	17
Wisconsin	1,65%	4
	TOTAL	242


## Q33 Who makes the funding decision for you to go to conferences?



Answer Choices	Responses	
Me - I pay for myself	26.07%	170
My manager - my company pays for me	50.15%	327
Upper level manager	6.60%	43
Other (please specify)	17.18%	112
Total		652


# Q34 Is there a condition placed on your attendance at events? (Please check all that apply.)





nswer Choices	Responses	
Must have a submission accepted to attend	51.01%	32
Local travel only	3.58%	2
Specific events or specific number of events per year only	18.82%	12
Must provide business benefits	15.71%	10
Must have budget available	55.83%	35
Must fit to career development or educational goals	31.26%	20
Must be relevant to current project(s)	26.59%	17
No condition	11.82%	7
Other (please specify)	2.95%	1
otal Respondents: 643		


### Q35 Please check each Association of which you are currently a member:




nswer Choices	Responses	
None	10.54%	6
ACM	69.52%	42
ACM SIGCHI	48.76%	29
ACM SIGCHI local SIG	7.25%	4
ACM SIGGRAPH	1.48%	
ACM SIG - other	4.28%	2
AIGA	0.16%	
ASD	0.00%	
HFES	2.80%	,
IEEE	11.20%	(
IxDA	4.12%	2
UPA	3.95%	2
AFIHM	1.32%	
BCS-HCI	1.48%	
EACE	0.33%	
GI	1.48%	

STIMDI	0.49%	3
Other (please specify)	5.77%	35
Total Respondents: 607		

### Q36 Please indicate which CHI conferences you have attended and/or plan to attend:






answer Choices	Responses	
CHI 2007 (San Jose)	18.01%	10
CHI 2008 (Florence, Italy)	19.06%	10
CHI 2009 (Boston)	23.43%	13
CHI 2010 (Atlanta)	25.87%	14
CHI 2011 (Vancouver, B.C., Canada)	34.62%	19
CHI 2012 (Austin, Texas)	39.86%	22
CHI 2013 (Paris, France)	47.20%	27
CHI 2014 (Toronto, Canada)	78.15%	44
CHI 2015 (Seoul, Korea)	57.34%	32
CHI 2016 (San Jose, California)	54.37%	31
otal Respondents: 572		

### Q37 Do you plan to come to CHI 2015 in Seoul, Korea?






nswer Choices	Responses	
Yes, definitely	7.61%	50
Yes, most likely	15.53%	102
Yes, if a research paper is accepted	37.90%	249
Yes, if another type of contribution is accepted	3.81%	25
Don't know yet	14.16%	93
Probably not	14.16%	93
Definitely not	6.85%	45
otal		657

# Q38 Which of the following currently approved SIGCHI sponsored conferences are you considering attending (or already attended) in 2013/2014?


Answered: 369 Skipped: 316



nswer Choices		Responses	
CSCW 2014: Computer Supported Cooperative Work, Feb 15-19, Baltimore, Maryland, USA	24.93%	92	
TEI'14: Eigth International Conference on Tangible, Embedded, and Embodied Interaction, Feb 16-19, Munich, Germany		23	
IUI '14: International Conference on Intelligent User Interfaces, February 24-27, Haifa, Israel	2.71%	10	
ETRA '14: Eye Tracking Research and Applications, March 26-28, Safety Harbor, FL, USA	0.54%	- 2	
HRI'14: ACM/IEEE International Conference on Human-Robot Interaction, March 3-6, Bielefeld, Germany		į	
DIS '14: Designing Interactive Systems Conference, June 21-25, Vancouver, Canada		49	
EICS'14: ACM SIGCHI Symposium on Engineering Interactive Computing Systems, June 17-20, Rome, Italy		8	
TVX '14: ACM International Conference on Interactive Experiences for TV and Online Video, June 25-27, Newcastle Upon Tyne, United Kingdom	3.79%	1-	

CABS'14: Collaboration Across Boundaries: Culture, Distance & Technology, August 21-22 Kyoto, Japan	0.27%	1
Ubicomp '14: The 2014 ACM Conference on Ubiquitous Computing, Sept 13-17, Seattle, USA	14.91%	55
MobileHCI '14: 16th International Conference on Human-Computer Interaction with Mobile Devices and Services, September 17-21, Toronto, Canada	18.97%	70
RecSys '14: Eighth ACM Conference on Recommender Systems, Sept 27 - Oct. 1st, Silicon Valey (San Matteo), USA	1.90%	7
SUI '14: Symposium on Spatial User Interaction (co-located with UIST 2014), Oct 4-5, Honolulu, Hawai (USA)	3.52%	13
UIST '14: The 27th Annual ACM Symposium on User Interface Software and Technology (co-located with SUI 2014), Oct 5-8, Honolulu, Hawai, USA	25.20%	93
CHI PLAY'14: ACM SIGCHI Annual Symposium on Computer-Human Interaction in Play, Oct 19-22, Toronto, Canada	12.47%	46
Group '14: ACM 2014 International Conference on Group Work, Nov 6-9, Sanibel Island, USA	5.69%	21
VRST '14: The 20th ACM Symposium on Virtual Reality Software and Technology, Nov 11-13, University of Edinburgh, UK	1.36%	5
ITS '14: Interactive Tabletops and Surfaces, Nov 16-19, Dresden, Germany	9.49%	35
ICMI '14: International Conference on Multimodal Interaction, Nov 12-16, Istanbul, Turkey	4.61%	17
TEI '15: Ninth International Conference on Tangible, Embedded, and Embodied Interaction, Jan 31 - Feb 3 2015, Stanford, USA	11.92%	44
HRI'15: ACM/IEEE International Conference on Human-Robot Interaction, March 1-4, Portland, USA	2.44%	9
Other (please specify)	9.76%	36
Respondents: 369		

# Q39 How many events (e.g. conferences, workshops, seminars etc.) do you attend per year on average?



Answer Choices	Responses	
0-1	24.92%	164
2-3	59.27%	390
4-5	13.07%	86
6+	2.74%	18
Total		658