
Q1 Was CHI 2013 worth your time and money?


Answered: 631 Skipped: 163



Answer Choices	Responses	
Yes	88.59%	559
No	11.41%	72
Total		631

Q2 Please rate the conference sessions/activities at CHI.Select one choice for each row; if you did not attend, mark "didn't attend":

Answered: 785 Skipped: 9




	Poor	Fair	Neutral	Good	Excellent	Didn't attend	Total	Weighted Average
Opening Plenary	1.92%	6.91%	10.74%	33.38%	24.42%	22.63%		
	15	54	84	261	191	177	782	3.9
alt.chi	0.65%	1.30%	5.85%	14.69%	8.97%	68.53%		
	5	10	45	113	69	527	769	3.9
Courses	0.77%	2.32%	3.60%	9.27%	4.63%	79.41%		
	6	18	28	72	36	617	777	3.
Case Studies	0.52%	2.07%	4.01%	13.71%	4.53%	75.16%		
	4	16	31	106	35	581	773	3.
Doctoral Consortium	0.13%	0.13%	1.03%	1.55%	1.81%	95.34%		
	1	1	8	12	14	737	773	4.
Industry Day Presentations	1.43%	1.30%	3.76%	6.49%	1.69%	85.34%		
	11	10	29	50	13	658	771	3.
Interactivity (Demos)	0.51%	3.99%	10.17%	41.06%	31.92%	12.36%		
	4	31	79	319	248	96	777	4.

Last Minute SIGs	0.26%	0.78%	2.08%	4.29%	2.47%	90.12%		
	2	6	16	33	19	693	769	
Panels	0.91%	2.98%	8.15%	24.19%	12.03%	51.75%		
	7	23	63	187	93	400	773	
Papers/Notes	0.91%	3.75%	10.61%	50.19%	30.27%	4.27%		
	7	29	82	388	234	33	773	
RepliCHI	1.04%	0.78%	4.56%	10.17%	4.69%	78.75%		
	8	6	35	78	36	604	767	
SIGs	0.52%	2.46%	5.05%	16.97%	9.72%	65.28%		
	4	19	39	131	75	504	772	
Student Design Competition	0.00%	0.52%	3.74%	8.77%	3.61%	83.35%		
	0	4	29	68	28	646	775	
Student Research Competition	0.26%	0.78%	2.97%	7.88%	3.49%	84.63%		
	2	6	23	61	27	655	774	
Student Games Competition	0.13%	0.78%	3.65%	6.38%	5.34%	83.72%		
	1	6	28	49	41	643	768	
ToCHI papers in paper sessions	0.39%	2.09%	8.09%	23.37%	16.84%	49.22%		
	3	16	62	179	129	377	766	
Video Showcase	1.05%	2.09%	5.75%	18.30%	14.77%	58.04%		
	8	16	44	140	113	444	765	
Works-in-progress (Posters)	0.64%	5.54%	16.24%	37.89%	14.18%	25.52%		
	5	43	126	294	110	198	776	
Workshops	1.68%	1.68%	5.17%	14.23%	14.36%	62.87%		
	13	13	40	110	111	486	773	
Closing Plenary	9.00%	8.74%	11.70%	16.71%	17.48%	36.38%		
	70	68	91	130	136	283	778	

Q3 Please rate the conference events. Select one choice for each row; if you did not attend, mark "didn't attend":






	Poor	Fair	Neutral	Good	Excellent	Didn't attend	Total	Weighted Average
Conference Reception	9.97%	13.21%	18.39%	33.55%	14.38%	10.49%		
	77	102	142	259	111	81	772	3.33
Exhibits	1.04%	7.14%	18.57%	41.82%	14.29%	17.14%		
	8	55	143	322	110	132	770	3.74
Job Fair	3.78%	6.39%	14.08%	13.56%	4.17%	58.02%		
	29	49	108	104	32	445	767	3.19
Town Hall Meeting	1.17%	1.56%	4.69%	10.16%	3.13%	79.30%		
	9	12	36	78	24	609	768	3.60

Q4 Please rate the conference overall (please select one choice for each row):


Answered: 777 Skipped: 17



	Poor	Fair	Neutral	Good	Excellent	Don't care	Total	Weighted Average
Overall Rating	1.07%	7.08% 53	12.42% 93	53.40% 400	25.50% 191	0.53% 4	749	3.96
Carried out the conference theme "Changing perspectives"	5.44% 42	9.97% 77	28.37% 219	28.63% 221	10.75% 83	16.84% 130	772	3.35
Kept up with the latest trends	1.56%	4.29% 33	14.04% 108	50.72% 390	25.49% 196	3.90% 30	769	3.98
Content was interesting	0.65% 5	4.79% 37	9.33% 72	51.81% 400	32.90% 254	0.52% 4	772	4.12
Content was personally valuable	2.20% 17	6.09% 47	12.69% 98	48.45% 374	29.66% 229	0.91% 7	772	3.98
Content was business valuable	2.47% 19	7.01% 54	25.97% 200	26.62% 205	12.08% 93	25.84% 199	770	3.52
Content met my overall needs	1.81%	8.91% 69	17.05% 132	47.67% 369	23.51% 182	1.03% 8	774	3.83

Q5 What is the value you received from attending CHI 2013? (please check all that apply)






nswer Choices	Responses	
Present my work	56.03%	43
Get feedback on my work	47.95%	37
Learn new material	73.59%	57
Seek job opportunities	14.10%	11
Meet new friends and colleagues	75.64%	59
Renew relationships with others	69.10%	5
Expand my business opportunities	11.67%	!
Visit an interesting location	43.59%	3-
Get an overview of the field	53.21%	4
Get new ideas and inspiration	78.85%	6
Other (please specify)	3.97%	:
otal Respondents: 780		


Q6 Before the conference: how did the following meet your needs? Please make one selection for each row.





	Poor	Fair	Neutral	Good	Excellent	N/A	Total	Weighted Average
Conference web site	8.39%	16.00%	23.61%	39.61%	11.48%	0.90%		
	65	124	183	307	89	7	775	3.30
Submission process	1.81%	5.43%	11.77%	42.82%	14.49%	23.67%		
	14	42	91	331	112	183	773	3.82
Registration process	3.91%	7.94%	16.15%	50.26%	18.88%	2.86%		
	30	61	124	386	145	22	768	3.74


Q7 This year, the technical program schedule had 20 min presentations for full papers and 10 min presentations for short papers. For me these were:



Answer Choices	Responses	
too short	9.29%	72
too long	3.23%	25
about right	87.48%	678
Total		775

Q8 This year the CHI programme run over 4 days, for me this is


Answered: 777 Skipped: 17



Answer Choices	Responses	
too long, I prefer 3 days with less free time	13.26%	103
about right	75.80%	589
too short, I prefer 5 days with more free time	10.94%	85
Total		777

Q10 How important are courses to your choice to attend CHI?


Answered: 754 Skipped: 40



Answer Choices	Responses	
I attend primarly for the courses	3.58%	27
Courses somewhat influence my decision	17.64%	133
Courses make no difference to me	78.78%	594
Total		754

Q11 This year the technical program included several courses on a wide range of topics. For me,(Please check all that apply)






Answer Choices	Responses	
There were no courses that were of interest to me	31.68%	205
There were multiple courses that were of interest to me	36.01%	233
I wasn't aware that I could sign up for courses.	19.47%	126
The courses I took added significant value to my CHI experience	9.43%	61
I was not able to register for courses that were of interest to me	19.32%	125
otal Respondents: 647		


Q13 How important are workshops to your choice to attend CHI?





Answer Choices	Responses	
l attend primarly for the workshops	11.65%	87
Workshps somewhat influence my decision	39.49%	295
Workshops make no difference to me	48.86%	365
Total		747


Q14 Workshops were held this year in a different location than the main conference. For me,



Answer Choices	Respons	es
I prefer to have workshops in the same location as the main conference	33.10%	239
I prefer to have all the program in one place	19.94%	144
this makes no difference to me	46.95%	339
Total		722

Q15 Which types of sessions do you believe could be omitted? (check all that apply)

Answered: 355 Skipped: 439




nswer Choices	Responses	
Alt.CHI	18.03%	64
Case Studies	19.44%	6
Courses	20.28%	7:
Doctoral Consortium	12.68%	4
Exhibits	11.55%	4
Industry Days	38.59%	13
Interactivity	7.04%	2
Keynotes	6.20%	2
Lab visits	24.79%	8
Panels	13.24%	4
Papers and notes presentations	2.82%	1
Posters/Work-in-Progress	9.30%	3
Reception	4.23%	1
RepliCHI	29.58%	10
SIGs	12.96%	4

Video Showcase	32.96%	117
Workshops	4.23%	15
Total Respondents: 355		

Q16 What media did you use to plan for and track CHI 2013 while it was in progress?






Responses	
76.10%	573
14.21%	107
16.47%	124
45.68%	344
22.44%	16
9.96%	7
16.87%	12
0.40%	;
6.24%	4
	76.10% 14.21% 16.47% 45.68% 22.44% 9.96% 16.87% 0.40%

Q17 If you used Video Previews, how valuable was it as part of your conference experience?






nswer Choices	Responses	
Not valuable, mostly used as a novelty	37.62%	158
A little valuable, but mostly depended on paper or web program	40.48%	170
Quite valuable, I consulted it regularly	17.62%	74
Essential, I used it for planning or guidance nearly every session	4.29%	18
otal		420

Q18 If you used Mobile Program App, how valuable was it as part of your conference experience?






nswer Choices	Responses	
Not valuable, mostly used as a novelty	7.17%	40
A little valuable, but mostly depended on paper or web program	19.89%	111
Quite valuable, I consulted it regularly	32.08%	179
Essential, I used it for planning or guidance nearly every session	40.86%	228
otal		558

Q19 How important is the paper program booklet to you?


Answered: 752 Skipped: 42



Answer Choices	Responses	
Not important, I use the web or mobile guides only	16.76%	126
Somewhat important, I'd miss it a bit if it were gone	30.59%	230
Very important, I'd be lost at CHI without it	52.66%	396
Total		752


Q20 I found the following information useful in experiencing CHI:


Answered: 734 Skipped: 60



nswer Choices	Responses	
Daily schedule	92.10%	676
Individual paper titles	89.24%	655
Authors for individual papers	74.52%	547
Video previews	25.75%	18
Three letter codes	13.22%	9
Interactive Schedule displays (large displays)	22.34%	16
RepliCHI Labels	4.36%	3
otal Respondents: 734		


Q21 Over the years CHI has offered a variety of logo merchandise. Which of these, if any, would you purchase if offered at the next CHI conference you plan to attend? (check all that apply)





Answer Choices	Responses	
T-shirt	59.74%	184
Mug	42.53%	131
Polo shirts	16.88%	52
Hats (e.g. baseball-style caps)	7.14%	22
Water bottles	23.70%	73
Pens or Pen and Pencil sets	27.60%	85
iPad or iPhone covers	18.18%	56
otal Respondents: 308		


Q22 The Panel Chairs of CHI 2014 would like your input. What do you feel like the ideal number of panel participants and what panel topics would you suggest?



Answer Choices	Responses	
2	2.47%	14
3	13.93%	79
4	58.55%	332
5	22.93%	130
6 and more	2.12%	12
Total		567

Q23 There have been labels indicating RepliCHI for some papers? How useful are these labels to you?






Answer Choices	Responses	
I don't know what they mean	39.86%	275
not very useful	17.39%	120
neutral	31.88%	220
very useful	10.87%	75
Total		690

Q24 Did you request any accessibilityrelated accommodations for the conference? If yes, were your requests met in a timely manner?


Answered: 682 Skipped: 112



Answer Choices	Responses	
no - no accessibility-related special needs required	97.36%	664
yes - I required accessibility-related special needs, which were met in a timely manner	1.03%	7
yes - I required accessibility-related special needs, but they were not met	1.61%	11
Total		682


Q25 Do you know of any researchers, practitioners, or educators with disabilities who wanted to attend the CHI conference, but could not due to accessibility-related concerns?





Answer Choices	Responses	
yes	2.15%	15
not sure	11.87%	83
no	85.98%	601
Total		699


Q27 Based on your experiences at CHI 2013 would you recommend the conference to others?



Answer Choices	Responses	
Yes	89.61%	664
No	10.39%	77
Total		741

Q28 From your viewpoint which of the following is the most unique and important element of the CHI conference (choose only one)?






Answer Choices	Responses	
The quality of the conference program	42.15%	314
The diversity of the conference program	32.21%	240
Opportunity to see old friends and make new acquaintances	25.64%	191
Total		745

Q30 I am a


Answered: 754 Skipped: 40




Answer Choices	Responses	
Female	36.87%	278
Male	59.28%	447
Prefer not to say	3.85%	29
Total		754

Q31 Have you volunteered for, written or organized something at CHI 2013? (Please check all that apply.)

Answered: 686 Skipped: 108



wer Choices	Responses	
Attendee	68.80%	4
Presenter/Author of a research paper	32.80%	2
Presenter/Author of a poster, interactivity, student competition,	24.49%	1
Workshop, Panel or SIG Organizer	8.75%	
Workshop, Panel or SIG Participant	26.97%	1
Course Instructor	2.04%	
Student Volunteer	8.16%	
Exhibitor/Vendor	1.46%	
Sponsor	2.33%	
Committee Member – CHI 2013	6.27%	
Committee Member – CHI 2014	2.48%	
Reviewer	39.21%	2
Staff	0.15%	
Other (please specify)	3.64%	
tal Respondents: 686		




Answer Choices	Responses
Architect	0.14%
Anthropologist	0.70%
Designer Graphics/Illustration	0.14%

Designer Industrial/Product	0.42%	3
Designer Interaction	7.94%	57
Designer User Experience	6.13%	44
Engineer Design	0.97%	7
Engineer Software	3.20%	23
Ethnographer	0.56%	4
Game Design/Development	1.81%	13
General Management	0.28%	2
Human Factors/Ergonomics	3.48%	25
Information Architect	0.28%	2
Information Systems Specialist	0.42%	3
Marketing	0.14%	1
Product Management	1.25%	9
Psychologist Cognitive	1.81%	13
Psychologist Perceptual	0.28%	2
Psychologist Social	1.39%	10
Researcher Academia	47.77%	343
Researcher Government	1.11%	8
Researcher Industry	8.36%	60
Sociologist	0.56%	4
Software Developer	2.23%	16
Teaching Academic	5.15%	37
Training Corporate	0.00%	0
Usability Engineering	3.48%	25
ıl		718


Q33 What is your position?

Answered: 747 Skipped: 47



Answer Choices	Responses	
C-level (CEO/CIO/CFO)	0.67%	5
Partner	0.27%	2
Professor	21.82%	163
Consultant	2.81%	21
Other Management	5.09%	38
Expert, researcher	31.59%	236
Student	34.14%	255
Other (please specify)	3.61%	27
Total		747


Q34 Short version of your work setting:



nswer Choices	Responses	
University	74.93%	562
Industry Research	11.33%	85
Practitioner	8.40%	63
Government-Funded Institution	3.73%	28
Independent/Consultant	1.60%	12
otal		750

Q35 Define your work location

Answered: 712 Skipped: 82




Country	Percent	Number
Algeria	0,14%	1
Australia	1,13%	8
Austria	3,25%	23
Belgium	1,98%	14
Brazil	0,42%	3
Canada	5,79%	41
Cap Verde	0,14%	1
China	0,99%	7
Czech Republic	0,14%	1
Denmark	2,26%	16
Estonia	0,42%	3
Finland	2,12%	15
France	8,62%	61
Germany	11,16%	79
Greece	0,85%	6
Hungary	0,28%	2
India	0,56%	4
Ireland	0,14%	1
Italy	1,69%	12
Japan	0,99%	7
Korea, South	1,55%	11
Luxembourg	0,28%	2
Mexico	0,28%	2
Netherlands	3,11%	22
New Zealand	0,43%	3
Norway	0,42%	3

CHI 2013 Conference Survey


Poland	0,28%	2
Portugal	1,69%	12
Russia	0,14%	1
Singapore	0,42%	3
Spain	0,85%	6
Sweden	4,10%	29
Switzerland	1,69%	12
Taiwan	0,42%	3
Thailand	0,14%	1
Turkey	0,14%	1
United Arab Emirates	0,14%	1
United Kingdom	13,84%	98
United States of America	26,98%	191
	TOTAL	708

State (for US only)



Country	Percent	Number
Alabama	0,57%	1
Arizona	0,57%	1
California	25,71%	45
Connecticut	0,57%	1
District of Columbia	1,14%	2
Florida	1,14%	2
Georgia	2,86%	5
Hawaii	0,57%	1
Illinois	1,71%	3
Indiana	1,71%	3
Maryland	5,14%	9
Massachusetts	8,00%	14
Michigan	1,71%	3
Minnesota	0,57%	1
New Jersey	2,29%	4
New Mexico	0,57%	1
New York	10,86%	19
North Carolina	0,57%	1
Oregon	2,29%	4
Pennsylvania	13,14%	23
Rhode Island	0,57%	1
South Carolina	0,57%	1
Tennessee	0,57%	1
Texas	3,43%	6
h	1,14%	2
Virginia	1,14%	2
Washington	10,86%	19
	TOTAL	175


Q36 Who makes the funding decision for you to go to conferences?



answer Choices	Responses	
Me - I pay for myself	25.78%	19
My manager - my company pays for me	51.15%	379
Upper level manager	6.48%	4
Other (please specify)	16.60%	12
otal		74


Q37 Is there a condition placed on your attendance at events? (Please check all that apply.)





inswer Choices	Responses	
Must have a submission accepted to attend	56.15%	41
Local travel only	3.28%	2
Specific events or specific number of events per year only	14.07%	10
Must provide business benefits	12.70%	g
Must have budget available	53.14%	38
Must fit to career development or educational goals	28.01%	20
Must be relevant to current project(s)	27.32%	20
No condition	11.61%	8
Other (please specify)	4.10%	3
otal Respondents: 732		

Q38 Please check each Association of which you are currently a member:



Answer Choices	Responses	
None	12.46%	84
ACM	66.77%	450
ACM SIGCHI	44.51%	300
ACM SIGCHI local SIG	8.16%	55
ACM SIGGRAPH	1.34%	9
ACM SIG - other	3.71%	25
AIGA	0.59%	4
ASD	0.00%	0
HFES	2.67%	18
IEEE	13.20%	89
IxDA	3.56%	24
UPA	4.60%	31
AFIHM	3.56%	24
BCS-HCI	3.56%	24
EACE	0.59%	4
GI	2.52%	17

0% 10%

20%

30%

40%

50%


60% 70%


80%

90% 100%

Total Respondents: 674		
Other (please specify)	7.57%	1
STIMDI	0.30%	2

Q39 Please indicate which CHI conferences you have attended and/or plan to attend:






nswer Choices	Responses	
CHI 2006 (Montreal, Canada)	15.04%	10
CHI 2007 (San Jose)	14.75%	10
CHI 2008 (Florence, Italy)	22.71%	15
CHI 2009 (Boston)	20.80%	14
CHI 2010 (Atlanta)	24.78%	10
CHI 2011 (Vancouver, B.C., Canada)	32.74%	2:
CHI 2012 (Austin, Texas)	38.64%	2
CHI 2013 (Paris, France)	71.53%	4
CHI 2014 (Toronto, Canada)	67.11%	4
CHI 2015 (Seoul, Korea)	38.50%	2
None	7.67%	

Q40 Do you plan to come to CHI 2014 in Toronto, Canada?


Answered: 754 Skipped: 40



Answer Choices	Responses	
Yes, definitely	20.95%	158
Yes, if a research paper is accepted	40.58%	306
Yes, if another type of contribution is accepted	7.56%	57
Don't know yet	18.70%	141
Probably not	10.08%	76
Definitely not	2.12%	16
Total		754

Q41 Which of the following currently approved SIGCHI sponsored conferences are you considering attending (or already attended) in 2012/2013?


Answered: 402 Skipped: 392



wer Choices	Respor	nses
IUI '13: International Conference on Intelligent User Interfaces, February 10-13, Phoenix, USA	3.23%	13
TEI'13: Seventh International Conference on Tangible, Embedded, and Embodied Interaction, February 10-13, Barcelona, Spain	6.72%	27
	12.94%	,
CSCW 2013: Computer Supported Cooperative Work, February 16-20 San Antonio, USA		52
HRI 2013: International Conference on Human-Robot Interaction, March 11-14, Tokyo, Japan	1.74%	7
C&C '13: Creativity and Cognition 2013, June 17-20, Sydney, Australia	2.99%	12
EICS'13: ACM SIGCHI Symposium on Engineering Interactive Computing Systems, June 24-27, London, U.K.	4.98%	20
SUI '13: Symposium on Spatial User Interaction, July 20-21, Los Angeles, USA	1.24%	5


MobileHCI '13: 15th International Conference on Human-Computer Interaction with Mobile Devices and Services, August 27-30, Munich, Germany	19.40%	78
	40.050	_
Ubicomp '13: The 2013 ACM International Joint Conference on Pervasive and Ubiquitous Computing, September 9-12, Zurich, Switzerland	19.65%	79
Oblicomp 13. The 2013 Acid International Joint Conference on Fervasive and obliquitous Computing, September 9-12, Zunch, Switzenand		_
RecSys '13: Seventh ACM Conference on Recommender Systems, September 24-28, Hong Kong, Hong Kong	1.00%	4
VRST '13: The 19th ACM Symposium on Virtual Reality Software and Technology, October 6-9, Singapore, Singapore	0.25%	1
	12.44%	
ITS '13: The ACM International Conference on Interactive Tabletops and Surfaces, October 6-9 St Andrews, United Kingdom		50
	29.60%	
UIST'13: The 26th Annual ACM Symposium on User Interface Software and Technology , October 10-12 St Andrews, United Kingdom	1	119
CMI '13: International Conference on Multimodal Interaction, December 2-6 Sydney, Australia	3.23%	13
	25.87%	
CSCW 2014: Computer Supported Cooperative Work, Feb 15-19, Baltimore, Maryland, USA	1	104
	17.91%	
FEI'14: Eigth International Conference on Tangible, Embedded, and Embodied Interaction, February 16-19, Munich, Germany		72
Ul '14: International Conference on Intelligent User Interfaces, February 24-27, Haifa, Israel	7.71%	31
	1.49%	6
ETRA '14: Eye Tracking Research and Applications, March 26-28, USA		
	20.15%	
DIS '14: Designing Interactive Systems Conference, June 7-11, Vancouver, Canada		81
	17.91%	
Ubicomp '14: The 2014 ACM Conference on Ubiquitous Computing, September 13-17 Seattle, USA		72
RecSys '14: Eighth ACM Conference on Recommender Systems, Sept 27 - Oct. 1st, Silicon Valey, USA Group '14: ACM 2014 International Conference on Group Work, November 6-9, Sanibel Island, USA	2.24%	9
	10.95%	
Other (please specify)		44
Respondents: 402		

Q42 How many events (e.g. conferences, workshops, seminars etc.) do you attend per year on average?



Answer Choices	Responses	
0-1	20.78%	155
2-3	60.19%	449
4-5	14.88%	111
6+	4.16%	31
Total		746

Q42 How many events (e.g. conferences, workshops, seminars etc.) do you attend per year on average?



Answer Choices	Responses	
0-1	20.78%	155
2-3	60.19%	449
4-5	14.88%	111
6+	4.16%	31
Total		746